

SAGLE TRADING COMPANY (PWS# 1090222) SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT REPORT

October 2, 2002



State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

Disclaimer: This publication has been developed as part of an informational service for the source water assessments of public water systems in Idaho and is based on the data available at the time and the professional judgement of the staff. Although reasonable efforts have been made to present accurate information, no guarantees, including expressed or implied warranties of any kind, are made with respect to this publication by the State of Idaho or any of its agencies, employees, or agents, who also assume no legal responsibility for the accuracy of presentations, comments, or other information in this publication. The assessment is subject to modification if new data is produced.

Sagle Trading Company Source Water Assessment

Under the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative sensitivity to contaminants regulated by the Act. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is completing the assessments for all Idaho public drinking water systems. The assessment for your particular drinking water source is based on a land use inventory within a 1,000 foot radius of your drinking water source, sensitivity factors associated with the source, and characteristics associated with either your aquifer or watershed in which you live.

This report, *Source Water Assessment for Sagle Trading Company (PWS# 1090222)*, located in Bonner County, Idaho, describes the public drinking water system, the associated potential contaminant sources located within a 1,000 foot boundary around the drinking water source, and the susceptibility (risk) that may be associated with any potential contaminants. This assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this system. The results should not be used as an absolute measure of risk and are not intended to undermine the confidence in your water system.

The Sagle Trading Company drinking water system consists of one well, designated as Well #1, which was drilled in The 1970's to a depth of 90'. The well uses a six-inch casing. The Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) *Well Construction Standards Rules (1993)* require all public water systems (PWSs) to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works (1997)* during construction. Various aspects of the standards can be assessed from well logs. Table 1 of the *Recommended Standards for Water Works (1997)* states that 6-inch steel casing requires a thickness of 0.280 inches. There is no well log available to confirm the thickness of the casing. The water system has undergone recent improvements and is in compliance with the Idaho Rules for Public Drinking Water Systems. The well is located outside of the 100-year floodplain and is protected from surface runoff. The well received a moderate system construction score.

The well was assigned a high hydrologic sensitivity score. The well is relatively shallow and located in an area of well-drained soils that lack significant confining layers to retard the vertical transport of contaminants.

The well received moderate potential contaminant/land use scores in all chemical categories with the exception of the microbial category, for which it was given a low score. There are six documented potential contaminant sites located within the well's source water assessment area. The sites include three underground fuel storage tank sites, Highway 95, a septic drainfield and a sewer line. The sewer line is located less than the 50' minimum separation distance required by the state, however, the water system has obtained a waiver for this situation and the sewer line does not pose a significant threat to the well. Information regarding the potential contaminants within the 1,000-foot boundary have been summarized and included in Table 1.

Table 1.

SITE #	Source Description ¹	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ²
1	LUST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
2	UST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
3	UST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
4	Highway	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
5	Septic Drainfield	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, Microbial
6	Sewer Line	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, Microbial

¹LUST = leaking underground storage tank, UST = underground storage tank

²IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical


Sagle Trading Company samples for total coliform quarterly and has an excellent sampling history. Nitrate is monitored annually and nitrite is monitored every nine years. Measurements for these contaminants range from non-detectable to 5.04mg/L. The 5.04mg/L reading is approaching the maximum contaminant level of 10.0mg/L. The water system should continue to monitor nitrate carefully to ensure that there is no upward trend in these levels.

The well received an overall susceptibility ranking of moderate in all chemical categories. A copy of the susceptibility analysis for your system along with a map showing any potential contaminant sources is included with this summary.

This assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a “pristine” area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources.

Sagle Trading Company should focus drinking water protection activities on maintaining current water quality. The water system should develop a drinking water protection plan that addresses public education, potential contaminant site management and contingency planning. Local residents should be made aware of the location of the well and the location of the well’s source water assessment areas. They should be advised of methods for the proper disposal of household hazardous wastes in these areas and of septic system maintenance procedures. Nearby gas stations should be provided information regarding best management practices to reduce the risk of a fuel leak or spill near the well. Also, the septic drainfield and sewer line should be monitored regularly to ensure proper operation. Finally, the water system should draw up a contingency plan that outlines emergency response activities and identifies an alternative source of water should one become necessary. These activities should center on responding to a sewage leak or fuel leak/spill or a hazardous material spill along Highway 95. Partnerships with state and local agencies and industry groups should be established and are critical to success. Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, wellhead protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term.

Figure 1. Sagle Trading Company Delineation Map and Potential Contaminant Source Locations



Assistance.

Public water suppliers and users may call the following IDEQ offices with questions about this assessment and to request help with drinking water protection planning.

Coeur d'Alene Regional DEQ Office (208) 769-1422

State IDEQ Office (208) 373-0502

DEQ Website: www.deq.state.id.us

Water suppliers serving fewer than 10,000 persons may contact Melinda Harper of the Idaho Rural Water Association (208) 343-7001 for assistance with drinking water protection strategies.

Idaho Rural Water Association Website: www.idahoruralwater.com

Home * A * Syst Website: www.uwex.edu/homeasyst

Formulas.

The final scores for the susceptibility analysis were determined using the following:

- 1) VOC/SOC/IOC Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.27)
- 2) Microbial Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.375)

Ground Water Final Susceptibility Scoring

0-5 = Low Susceptibility

6-12 = Moderate Susceptibility

> 13 = High Susceptibility

1. System Construction		SCORE			
Drill Date	1970'S				
Driller Log Available	NO				
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	1997			
Well meets IDWR construction standards	N/A	1			
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0			
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	N/A	2			
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	NO	1			
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	YES	0			
Total System Construction Score		4			
2. Hydrologic Sensitivity					
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	NO	2			
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	NO	0			
Depth to first water > 300 feet	NO	1			
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	NO	2			
Total Hydrologic Score		5			
3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND, WOODLAND, BASALT	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	NO	0	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		0	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	3	4	4	2
(Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum		6	8	8	4
Sources of Class II or III leachable contaminants or	YES	3	4	4	
4 Points Maximum		3	4	4	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	Less Than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		9	12	12	4
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		9	12	12	4
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		11	12	12	11
5. Final Well Ranking		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

POTENTIAL CONTAMINANT INVENTORY LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

AST (Aboveground Storage Tanks) – Sites with aboveground storage tanks.

Business Mailing List – This list contains potential contaminant sites identified through a yellow pages database search of standard industry codes (SIC).

CERCLIS – This includes sites considered for listing under the **Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)**. CERCLA, more commonly known as ASuperfund, is designed to clean up hazardous waste sites that are on the national priority list (NPL).

Cyanide Site – DEQ permitted and known historical sites/facilities using cyanide.

Dairy – Sites included in the primary contaminant source inventory represent those facilities regulated by Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) and may range from a few head to several thousand head of milking cows.

Deep Injection Well – Injection wells regulated under the Idaho Department of Water Resources generally for the disposal of stormwater runoff or agricultural field drainage.

Enhanced Inventory – Enhanced inventory locations are potential contaminant source sites added by the water system. These can include new sites not captured during the primary contaminant inventory, or corrected locations for sites not properly located during the primary contaminant inventory. Enhanced inventory sites can also include miscellaneous sites added by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) during the primary contaminant inventory.

Floodplain – This is a coverage of the 100-year floodplains.

Group 1 Sites – These are sites that show elevated levels of contaminants and are not within the priority one areas.

Inorganic Priority Area – Priority one areas where greater than 25% of the wells/springs show constituents higher than primary standards or other health standards.

Landfill – Areas of open and closed municipal and non-municipal landfills.

LUST (Leaking Underground Storage Tank) – Potential contaminant source sites associated with leaking underground storage tanks as regulated under RCRA.

Mines and Quarries – Mines and quarries permitted through the Idaho Department of Lands.)

Nitrate Priority Area – Area where greater than 25% of wells/springs show nitrate values above 5mg/l.

NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) – Sites with NPDES permits. The Clean Water Act requires that any discharge of a pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source must be authorized by an NPDES permit.

Organic Priority Areas – These are any areas where greater than 25 % of wells/springs show levels greater than 1% of the primary standard or other health standards.

Recharge Point – This includes active, proposed, and possible recharge sites on the Snake River Plain.

RICRIS – Site regulated under **Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA)**. RCRA is commonly associated with the cradle to grave management approach for generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes.

SARA Tier II (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Tier II Facilities) – These sites store certain types and amounts of hazardous materials and must be identified under the Community Right to Know Act.

Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) – The toxic release inventory list was developed as part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know (Community Right to Know) Act passed in 1986. The Community Right to Know Act requires the reporting of any release of a chemical found on the TRI list.

UST (Underground Storage Tank) – Potential contaminant source sites associated with underground storage tanks regulated as regulated under RCRA.

Wastewater Land Applications Sites – These are areas where the land application of municipal or industrial wastewater is permitted by IDEQ.

Wellheads – These are drinking water well locations regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act. They are not treated as potential contaminant sources.

NOTE: Many of the potential contaminant sources were located using a geocoding program where mailing addresses are used to locate a facility. Field verification of potential contaminant sources is an important element of an enhanced inventory.

Where possible, a list of potential contaminant sites unable to be located with geocoding will be provided to water systems to determine if the potential contaminant sources are located within the source water assessment area.